

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 07-1180

September Term 2007

FAA-2007-27537

Filed On: April 24, 2008

Grant O. Adams, et al.,

Petitioners

v.

Federal Aviation Administration and
Department of Transportation,

Respondents

Consolidated with 07-1194, 07-1226, 07-1326,
07-1366, 07-1390, 07-1447, 07-1507, 07-1524

BEFORE: Henderson, Rogers, and Tatel, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion to dismiss, the response thereto, and the reply; this court's order to show cause issued February 20, 2008, and the response thereto; and the parties' proposed briefing formats, it is

ORDERED that the motion to dismiss be referred to the merits panel to which these petitions for review are assigned. The parties are directed to address in their briefs the issues presented in the motion to dismiss rather than incorporate those arguments by reference. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the order to show cause be discharged. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the question whether the petitions in No. 07-1226 and No. 07-1326 should be dismissed as untimely with respect to petitioners Persky and Nelson be referred to the merits panel to which these petitions for review are assigned. The parties are directed to address this question in their briefs rather than incorporate by reference the arguments already submitted to this court. It is

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 07-1180

September Term 2007

FURTHER ORDERED that the following briefing schedule and format apply in this case:

Petitioners' joint brief (not to exceed 14,000 words)	June 11, 2008
Respondents' brief (not to exceed 14,000 words)	July 11, 2008
Petitioners' joint reply brief (not to exceed 7,000 words)	July 25, 2008
Joint Appendix	August 15, 2008
Final Briefs	August 29, 2008

The parties will be informed by separate order of the oral argument date and composition of the merits panel. The parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver their briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a).

The court reminds the parties that

In cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

Per Curiam